CHEMOTHERAPY RESPONSE SCORING (CRS) FROM MULTIPLE SITES DURING INTERVAL DEBULKING SURGERY IN OVARIAN CANCER: EXPERIENCE FROM A TERTIARY REFERRAL CENTER IN EASTERN INDIA

Divya Midha¹, Kavita Gupta¹, Pesona Grace Lucksom, Anik Ghosh, Basumita Chakraborti, Jaydip Bhaumik, <u>Asima Mukhopadhyay</u>

Department of Gynaecological Oncology and Pathology ¹, Tata Medical Center, Kolkata, India

INTRODUCTION and AIMS

- ➤ In our institution, the principle mode of treatment for advanced (stage IIB-IVB) stage epithelial ovarian cancer till December 2014 has been IDS (interval debulking surgery) with optimal (<1cm residual diease); however, we have noticed significant clinical and biochemical recurrence during follow up. Using a subjective pathological response criteria, 94 women (2011-2014) were categorised in to good (n=47) and poor responders (n=47); no difference was noted in recurrence patterns with approximately 50% recurrence rate and 25% platinum resistance.(Lucksom et al, ESGO 2015)</p>
- Since 2015, we adopted a policy where PDS (primary debulking surgery) was the primary modality (60-70% cases) and CC0/ CC1(<2.5mm residual) was the standard for optimal cytoreduction (PCI scoring was used). Also we adopted a 3 tier system for grading pathological response at NACT using the CRS scoring criteria and the CAP guidelines.
- > In this study, we aim to validate the 3 tier CRS and correlate with clinical parameters and

Median age, years (range) 52 (35-71)	
Origin of HGSC • 44 (60) • Fallopian tube • 25 (34) • Peritoneal • 04 (06)	
Clinical stage • 54 (74) • IV • 17 (24) • NA • 02 (02)	2
Cycles of NACT • 3 or 4 • 54 • 05 (07)	2011 N=32
Regimen of NACT• Carboplatin + Paclitaxel• 70 (96)• Carboplatin• 03 (04)	(2015,201
Outcome of debulking surgery : Residual disease61 (84)• No macroscopic disease• 61 (84)• > 0 but < 1.0 cm	CRS1
 > 0 but < 1.0 cm > 1.0 cm NA 03 (04) 04 (05) 	CRS2
Median Initial CA125	25 CRS3
CRS1 564 50	CF
CRS2 1390 52	PC Lo
CRS3 1240 13	in

RESULTS



METHODS

Criteria for the Chemotherapy Response Score Boehm S, Faruqi A, Said I, et al. Chemotherapy Response Score: Development and Validation of a System to Quantify Histopathologic Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Tubo-Ovarian High-Grade Serous Carcinoma. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.60.5212

- CRS 1No or minimal tumor response.Mainly viable tumor with no or minimal regression-associated fibro-
inflammatory changes, limited to a few foci.
- CRS 2Appreciable tumor response amid viable tumor that is readily identifiable.Tumor is regularly distributed, ranging from multifocal or diffuse
regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes with viable tumor in
sheets, streaks, or nodules to extensive regression-associated
Fibro-inflammatory changes with multifocal residual tumor, which is easily
identifiable.
- CRS 3 Complete or near-complete response with no residual tumor OR minimal irregularly scattered tumor foci seen as individual cells, cell groups, or nodules up to 2 mm maximum size. Mainly regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes or, in rare cases no or very little residual
 - tumor in the complete absence of any inflammatory response.



Ovary - CRS1 (No response)

Mainly viable tumour with no regression associated fibro-

- Observational study.
- Study period 1 (2011-2015): data on CRS vs PFS and PFI. At least 6 month PFI data available (n=73)
- Study period 2: (2015-2016 July): Data on CRS vs radiological and intra-operative response,
 Peritoneal carcinomatosis Index (PCI), CC score (cytoreduction status)(n=32)
 - CRS scoring was done on 3 sites: both ovaries, both fallopian tubes and omentum on single H &E stained section
 - Scoring was done by 2

CRS1	1 (50%)	0	0	1 (50%)	14
CRS2	12 (66%)	5 (27%)	1 (6%)	0	10
CRS3	8 (80%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	0	08

CRS score correlated with CC score, PCI, intra-op findings and Ca125. Lower Ca125 seen in CRS1 may be indicative of poor tumour biology

CRS score vs disease recurrence (PFS)

SITE	CRS1	CRS2	CRS3
OVARY	72%	65%	40%
FALLOPIAN TUBE	66%	63%	58%
OMENTUM	83%	66%	48%
3 sites worst	CRS1	CRS2	CRS3

 ✓ CRS3 in the ovary is the best predictor for a good outcome followed by omentum

 ✓ CRS1 in omentum is the best predictor for poor outcome followed by CRS1 in ovary.

✓ ? Sampling error-lesser
 representative sections obtained
 from omentum could explain the
 low predictive value for CRS3

inflammatory changes

Ovary CRS2 H&E 20x



Omentum - CRS3 (Complete response)

No residual viable tumour in a case of

foamy macrophages and regression

Primary peritoneal carcinoma. Sheets of

associated fibro-inflammatory changes

Ovary - CRS2 (Partial response) Multifocal tumour with regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes

seen.

Omentum CRS3 10x

natory changes

- independent reviewers (DM & KG). Training for CRS was obtained after personal communication with Dr N Singh and the website http://www.gpecimage.ubc.ca /aperio/images/crs
- Only high grade serous histology and stage IIB-IVB cases where all 3 sites were sampled were included
- Individual site scores as well as the worst CRS of all sites was recorded
- Data was collected from
 hospital electronic medical
 records system and Redcap
 database.
- Follow up status was updated



OMENTUM PFS	≤ 12 months	> 12 and ≤ 24 months
CRS1	43%	43%
CRS2	55%	26%
CRS1+2	53%	29%
CRS3	21%	57%

OMENTUM PFI	< 6 months	> 6 and ≤ 12 months
CRS1	43%	43%
CRS2	34%	21%
CRS3	07%	32%



Bohm et al : At less than 6 months after last adjuvant chemotherapy, 6% of patients with CRS 3, 43% with CRS 2, and 40% with CRS 1 showed progression (ie, primary platinum-resistant disease). Our data was comparable

CRS 3 Identifies Patients With Low Probability of Primary Platinum-Resistant Disease

SUMMARY / CONCLUSION

The CRS system is a potential step toward individualized treatment modification in patients with HGSC after NACT and IDS. The system is







Good response

Some response Poor response

REFERENCES

till July 2016

PFS (progression free survival) was calculate from date of diagnosis

GCIG criteria for
 biochemical/radiological/
 clinical recurrence

PFI (platinum free interval) was calculated from the date of C6 chemotherapy easy to apply, reproducible and seems to be prognostically relevant. Future work:

- Explore the possibility whether these CRS subgroups represent distinct biologic subsets.
 Translational studies to look into the molecular subtypes are in the horizon.
- To explore whether CRS can be applied to different treatment regimens and in combination with new prognostic and/or predictive factors.

Corresponding author: asima,mukhopadhyay@tmckolkata.com

Acknowledgements: Professor Usha Menon, UCLH, London

Professor Naveena Singh, Barts Health NHS Trust, London

Clinical data collection team , Department of Gynae-Oncology

Ajit Mukhopadhyay

Prashanta Nayak

Supriya Mondal

Aparajita Bhattacharya



1.Boehm S et al. Development of a response scoring system to quantify the effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian cancer - ovarian cancer response scoring (OCRS) study. Mod Pathol 2014; 27:276A.

2. Petrillo M, et al. Prognostic role and predictors of complete pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in primary unresectable ovarian cancer. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2014; 211:632.e631-638